

Exercise 2.7.5. Let $X_1, X_2 \sim N_m(\mu, \Sigma)$ with $X_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp X_2$, and define $Y = X_1 + X_2$. Find the distribution of Y .

Exercise 2.7.10. Let $X_1, X_2 \sim N_m(\mu, \Sigma)$ be independent. Consider the random vector $Z = X_1 + X_2$. Prove that the covariance matrix of Z is 2Σ .

Exercise 2.7.16. Suppose $X_1, X_2 \sim N_m(0, \Sigma)$ are independent and $Y = X_1 - X_2$. Derive the distribution of Y . How does the covariance structure of Y compare to that of X_1 and X_2 individually?

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim N_{2m}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma \end{pmatrix}\right), \quad A \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} = X_1 + X_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & I_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 2m}, \quad A \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} = X_1 + X_2$$

$$X_1 + X_2 \sim N_m(A \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}), \quad A \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma \end{pmatrix} A^\top = N_m(2\mu, 2\Sigma)$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & -I_m \end{pmatrix} \quad A \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} = X_1 - X_2$$

$$A \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix} = \mu - \mu = 0, \quad A \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma \end{pmatrix} A^\top = \Sigma + \Sigma = 2\Sigma$$

$$X_1 - X_2 \sim N_m(0, 2\Sigma)$$

Exercise 2.7.9. Let $X \sim N_m(0, \sigma^2 I_m)$ and let U be any orthogonal matrix. Show that the distribution X is the same as the distribution of UX . Would the answer be the same if the mean of X was not zero?

UX , U is a linear transformation, U orthogonal: $U^T U = UU^T = I_m$.

$$\mathbb{E}[UX] = U\mathbb{E}[X] = U \cdot 0 = 0$$

$$\text{Cov}(UX, UX) = U \text{Cov}(X, X) U^T = U \sigma^2 I_m U^T = \sigma^2 I_m$$

$UX \stackrel{d}{=} X \longrightarrow$ "spherical distribution".

Exercise 2.7.11. Suppose $X \sim N_m(\mu, \Sigma)$. Let $X_{\setminus 1}$ denote X with the first entry removed. Consider the linear regression of X_1 on the remaining variables

$$X_1 = \cancel{\mathbf{w}^\top X_{\setminus 1}} + \varepsilon \quad \text{with} \quad \varepsilon \perp\!\!\!\perp X_{\setminus 1}.$$

Show that the linear regression coefficients \mathbf{w} can be expressed in terms of the blocks of the covariance matrix Σ . Hint: What is the relationship between these coefficients and conditional variances?

$$\underline{X \sim N_m(\mu, \Sigma)} = N_m \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_{\setminus 1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{1,\setminus 1} \\ \Sigma_{\setminus 1,1} & \Sigma_{\setminus 1,\setminus 1} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$X_1 | X_{\setminus 1} = x \quad \hat{x}_1 = \underline{x_1} + \underline{\Sigma_{1,\setminus 1} \Sigma_{\setminus 1,\setminus 1}^{-1} (x - \underline{x_{\setminus 1}})} \quad \leftarrow$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{x}_1] = \underline{\mu_1} + \underline{\Sigma_{1,\setminus 1} \Sigma_{\setminus 1,\setminus 1}^{-1} (x - \mu_{\setminus 1})}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_1) &= \Sigma_{1,1} - \Sigma_{1,\setminus 1} \Sigma_{\setminus 1,\setminus 1}^{-1} \cancel{\Sigma_{\setminus 1,1}} \Sigma_{1,\setminus 1}^\top \Sigma_{1,\setminus 1} \\ &= \Sigma_{1,1} - \Sigma_{1,\setminus 1} \Sigma_{\setminus 1,\setminus 1}^{-1} \Sigma_{\setminus 1,1} \end{aligned}$$

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta X$$

$$\mathbf{w} = (\downarrow \beta_0, \downarrow \beta), \quad \beta = \Sigma_{1,\setminus 1} \Sigma_{\setminus 1,\setminus 1}^{-1} \quad \beta = S_{xy} S_x^{-1}$$

Exercise 2.7.15. Prove Lemma 2.2.2.

Lemma 2.2.2. Suppose $X \sim N_m(\mu, \Sigma)$. Then $AX \perp\!\!\!\perp BX$ if and only if $A\Sigma B^\top = 0$.

$$AX, BX, Z \perp\!\!\!\perp Y, \xleftarrow{Z, Y \text{ jointly Gaussian}} \text{Cov}(Z, Y) = 0$$

$Y \in \{-1, 1\}$ with equal probability, $X \sim N(0, I)$

$$\text{Cov}(Y \cdot X, X) = 0.$$

$$\text{Cov}(AX, BX) = A \text{Cov}(X, X) B^\top = \underline{A \Sigma B^\top} = 0.$$

Exercise 2.7.7. Let $X \sim N_m(\mu, \Sigma)$. Use the spectral decomposition of Σ to transform X into independent standard normal variables.

Exercise 2.7.3. Suppose we want to generate a sample of n observations from $N_m(0, \Sigma)$. Using R or Python, write a code that does it by sampling independently a bunch of univariate $N(0, 1)$ variables and transforming them appropriately.

$$\Sigma \text{ symmetric}, \bar{\Sigma} = \bar{\Sigma}^T, \underline{\Sigma} = U \Lambda U^T$$

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_i > 0, \underline{\Sigma}^{1/2} = U \Lambda^{1/2}$$

$$\underline{\Sigma}^{1/2} \cdot (\bar{\Sigma}^{1/2})^T = U \Lambda^{1/2} (U \Lambda^{1/2})^T = U \Lambda^{1/2} \Lambda^{1/2} U^T = \bar{\Sigma}$$

$$\underline{z} = \underline{\Sigma}^{-1/2} (X - \mu)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\underline{z}] = \underline{\Sigma}^{-1/2} \mathbb{E}[X - \mu] = 0$$

$$\text{Cov}(\underline{z}, \underline{z}) = \underline{\Sigma}^{-1/2} \text{Cov}(X - \mu, X - \mu) (\underline{\Sigma}^{-1/2})^T$$

$$= \underline{\Sigma}^{-1/2} \underline{\Sigma} \cdot (\underline{\Sigma}^{-1/2})^T$$

$$= U \cancel{\Lambda^{-1/2}} U^T \cancel{U} \Lambda^{-1/2} \cancel{U^T} U = U U^T U U^T = I_m.$$

$$\implies \underline{z} \sim N_m(0, I_m)$$

$$X = rnorm(m) \quad \Sigma \rightarrow \text{chol}(\bar{\Sigma}), \underline{\mu + \Sigma^{1/2} z = X}$$

Exercise 2.7.17. Let $X \sim N_m(\mu, \Sigma)$. Show that for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$, the probability $\mathbb{P}(a^\top X > c)$ depends on both $a^\top \mu$ and $a^\top \Sigma a$. Derive a formula for this probability in terms of the c.d.f. of the standard normal distribution.

$$X \sim N_m(\mu, \Sigma), \text{ density } f(x) = (2\pi)^{-m/2} |\Sigma|^{-1/2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu))$$

$$(x-\mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu) = c \quad \text{"Mahalanobis distance"}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(a^\top X > c), \quad a^\top X \sim N(a^\top \mu, a^\top \Sigma a)$$

$$z = \frac{a^\top X - a^\top \mu}{\sqrt{a^\top \Sigma a}} \quad \mathbb{P}(z > \frac{c - a^\top \mu}{\sqrt{a^\top \Sigma a}}), \quad z \sim N(0, 1)$$

Exercise 2.7.18. Consider the functions $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(\mathbf{x}) = \underline{\mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{x}}$ and $\underline{g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\top A \mathbf{x}}$ for $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $A \in \mathbb{S}^n$. Show that $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{a}$ and $\nabla g(\mathbf{x}) = 2A\mathbf{x}$.

$$\nabla f = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = a_i, \quad \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{a}$$

$$\nabla(\mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{x}) = \nabla(\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}.$$

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\top A \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_i x_j$$

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_k} = A_{ik} x_i + A_{kj} x_j = A_{ik} x_i + A_{jk}^+ x_j$$

$$\nabla g = A\mathbf{x} + A^\top \mathbf{x} \stackrel{A \in \mathbb{S}^n}{=} \underline{2A\mathbf{x}}.$$